

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/403,897

Applicant(s)

BARKAN et al.

Examiner

CANELLA

Art Unit

1642-

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KAREN CANELLA

(3)

(2) Roger Browdy

(4)

Date of Interview June 11, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonicb) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed modifications of claim 28, and the deletion of claim 36 in order to overcome the outstanding rejection under 112 ff 1st paragraph.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Karen A. Canella
Examiner's signature, if required